in sexual "inunorality" more harshly. cials may look upon attractive girls who engage immorality. The study provides an interesting lemale offenders and presents evidence that offiglimpse of the worldview of those who process more likely to be the case for those charged with ness was mentioned in official files. This was scriptions regarding appearance and attractive-Chesney-Lind find that a variety of physical dethe California Youth Authority, Rosenbaum and

of feminist activism on the decision to grant Politics," Patricia Gagné examines the impact In the article "Identity, Strategy, and Feminist

. . . . . . . . . .

tile cultural and political environments. other social movements need to consider in hosing in elemency and what strategies and tactics thorities and the public. Gagné discusses how movement community and gained access to ausciousness-raising groups that established a social careers and personal relationships to form conclemency to women incarcerated for killing or asfeminists created an opportunity structure result-Identifying the tactics and strategies employed by saulting abusive intimate partners or stepfathers. finds that incarcerated battered women used their the feminist battered women's movement, she

## Toward a Feminist Model of Female Delinquency\* Girls' Crime and Woman's Place

MEDA CHESNEY-LIND

cerned they think I'm the problem. You know, runthey wouldn't believe me. . . . As far as they are con-Tunaway" in Hawaii.) started running away from home and was arrested as a after having been physically and sexually assaulted. away, had label. (Statement of a 16-year-old girl who I ran away so many times. I tried anything, man, and

runaway with a long history of physical abuse [Davidcan't stay at home. (Statement of a 16-year-old Tucson tall myself before you guys are gonna listen to me. I You know, one of these days I'm going to have to

mean male delinquency, and can even generate about "delinquency," by which they generally quickly. By contrast, almost every citizen can talk members of the general public could answer her if she is caught? These are questions that few causes her to get into trouble? What happens to Who is the typical female delinquent? What

ht to choose new Temmist ioms expenories do not d inequality mangements of Western the institurecord, John on working roversy, and as ago, why 100 remains ution policy heory to exorking Prospatriarchy. evelop theontrol institumale delinresney-Lind

stood is that theories developed to describe the gender blind. What is perhaps less well under-All areas of social inquiry have been notoriously This pattern of neglect is not all that unusual

study of male delinquency. "The delinquent is a havior has, for all intents and purposes, been the since even the academic study of delinquent beniles found guilty of these offenses (Opinion that the juvenile courts are too lenient on juve-Research Corporation, 1982). in the rate of serious juvenile crime" and the fact deal with such problems as "the alarming increase ple, the failure of the juvenile justice system to some fairly specific complaints about, for exam-This situation should come as no surprise

analysis that follows, the 'non-Negro' becomes suggested, somewhat apologetically, that "in the equally important book entitled The Causes of white, and the girls disappear." Delinquency, relegated women to a footnote that More than a decade later, Travis Hirschi, in his in his influential book on gang delinquency rogue male" declared Albert Cohen (1955, p. 140)

Inc. Reprinted by permission of Sage Publications, Inc. 35. No. 1, pp. 5-29, copyright © 1989 by Sage Publications, \*From Meda Chexney Lind. Crime and Delinquency, vol.

ng data from omen receive inveness are ands that cul-Tal reactions arship in the d, is another by Jill Leslie

quency in America; and, more to the point, are youth fail to capture the full nature of delinmisbehavior of working- or lower-class male woefully inadequate when it comes to explaining female misbehavior and official reactions to girls

mitted by the stereotypical street gang. Moreover, group of "troublemakers" that exist on every inmany more young people than the small visible a range of activities far broader than those comsort of juvenile offense and many of these youth termediate and high school campus commit some in at least some form of misbehavior that could confirm that large numbers of adolescents engage Studies that solicit from youth themselves the birthday (Tracy Wolfgang, and Figlio, 1985, p. 5). with the police before reaching their eighteenth the girls born in 1958 had at least one contact for example, that 33% of all the boys and 14% of have brushes with the law. One study revealed, mission of serious crime, that shapes the actual result in their arrest. As a consequence, it is volume of their delinquent behavior consistently study of youth aged 15-21, for example, noted nature of juvenile delinquency. One national largely trivial misconduct, rather than the comthat only 5% reported involvement in a serious p. 363). Clearly, not all of these activities are as from the family (McGarrell and Flanagan, 1985, (truancy), 44% admitted having had sexual indrunk, 42% admitted to having skipped classes marijuana, 37% admitted to having been publicly ing used alcohol, 44% admitted to having used in a gang fight. In contrast, 81% admitted to havassault, and only 6% reported having participated that young people can be arrested for all of these serious as the others. It is important to remember tercourse, and 15% admitted to having stolen behaviors. To be specific, delinquent behavior involves

understand about the nature of delinquency, and violations, permit the arrest of youth for a wide wide variety of what are often called "status ofbe taken into custody for both criminal acts and a particularly female delinquency, is that youth can authority: "running away from home," "being a range of behaviors that are violations of parental fenses." These offenses, in contrast to criminal Indeed, one of the most important points to

arrested for either criminal or noncriminal status and so on, Juvenile delinquents, then, are youths control," truint, in need of "care and protection, of supervision," being "incorrigible," "beyond person in need of supervision." "minor in need sidering the character of female delinquency. by no means insignificant, particularly when conthe role played by uniquely juvenile offenses is offenses; and, as this discussion will establish

most are arrested for the less serious criminal acts assault (McGarrell and Flanagan, 1985, p. 479) and status offenses. Of the one and a half million youth are actually arrested, it is clear that again fenses as murder, rape, robbery, or aggravated of these arrests were for such serious violent of youth arrested in 1983, for example, only 4.5% (larceny theft) much of which, particularly for In contrast, 21% were arrested for a single oftense girls, is shoplifting (Shelden and Horvath, 1986) Examining the types of offenses for which

rested and from this it can be seen that while arrests; for example, the five offenses listed in offenses, are more significant in the case of girls delinquency, trivial offenses, particularly status trivial offenses dominate both male and female fenses for which male and female youth are at male offenses and only slightly more than half of male offenses. Table 1 account for nearly three-quarters of te-Table 1 presents the five most frequent of

tinely neglected in most delinquency research about 25.2% of all girls' arrests in 1986 (as comcial delinquency. Status offenses accounted for status offenses play a significant role in girls offe encouraged jurisdictions to divert and deinsum sage of the Juvenile Justice and Delinquency Predeclines in arrests of youth for these offers boys' arrests (compared to 8.8% in 1977). Them might have been expected as a result of the pofigures are somewhat surprising since dramatic pared to 26.9% in 1977) and only about 8.3% of away arrests increased by a striking 24.5% occurred in the 1970s. Between 1982 and 1986 tionalize youth charged with noncriminal of vention Act in 1974, which, among other things girls' curfew arrests increased by 5.1% and no decline in these arrests, virtually all of this decline fenses. While the figures in Table 1 do show More to the point, it is clear that, though rou

	٨	Aale			rests for Specific Offenses, 1	9// and	1986		
	% of			% of		Female %of			
1977	Total Arrests	1	986	Total Arrests	1077	%of Total			%of
(1) Larceny-Theft (2) Other Offenses	18.4	(1) Larceny	-Theft	20.4	(1) Larceny-Theft	Arrests		1986	Total Arrest
(3) Burglary (4) Drug Abuse Violations (5) Vandalism	14.5 (2) Other Offenses 16.5 (2) Runaway 13.0 (3) Burglary 9.1 (3) Other Offenses 16.4 (4) Drug Abuse Violations 7.0 (4) Liquor Laws		(2) Runaway (3) Other Offenses	27.0 22.9 14.2 5.5	(1) Larcetty-Theft (2) Runaway (3) Other Offenses (4) Liquor Laws		25.7 20.5 14.8 8.4		
land of the same		1977	1986	% N Char	nge	4.0	(5) Curfew (	<b>L</b> oitering	Violations 4.7
Arrests for Serious Violent ( Arrests of All Violent Offen	Offenses*	4.2%	4.7%	+2.3			1977	1986	% N Change
Arrests for Status Offenses*		7.6% 8.8%	9.6% 8.3%	+10.3 -17.8	Arrests of All Violent Offe Arrests for Status Offense	mses	1.8% 5.1%	2.0% 7.1%	+1.7 +26.0
OURCE: Compiled from Feder Arrest for murder and ronneg Also includes arrests for other	ral Bureau o	d Investigation	(1987, p. 169).		of Status Offenses		26.9%	25.2%	14.7

c. Acrest for curfew and intering law violation and removay

d by a striking 24.5%. And ncreased by 5.1% and run Between 1982 and 1986 virtually all of this decline ires in Table 1 do show a ed with noncriminal of us to divert and deinstituwhich, among other things tice and Delinquency Preted as a result of the pasyouth for these offenses surprising since dramatic 1 to 8.8% in 1977). These ) and only about 8.3% of s offenses accounted for mificant role in girls' offist delinquency research, is clear that, though roulightly more than half of rly three-quarters of fehve offenses listed in cant in the case of girls nses, particularly status both male and female can be seen that while nd female youth are arfive most frequent ofen and Horvath, 1986). which, particularly for sted for a single offense anagan, 1985, p. 479). obbery, or aggravated uch serious violent ofor example, only 4.5% one and a half million s serious criminal acts it is clear that again offenses for which nale delinquency. sarticularly when cony juvenile offenses is ussion will establish, or noncriminal status ents, then, are youths care and protection. corrigible," "beyond on," "minor in need arrests in 1986 (as com-

TABLE 1 Rank Order of Adolescent Male and Female Arrests for Specific Offenses, 1977 and 1986

	M	ale				Ferr	nale			
1977	% of Total Arrests	19	86	% of Total Arrests	1977	%of Total Arrests		1986	2	%of Total Arrests
(1) Larceny-Theft	18.4	(1) Larceny-	Theft	20.4	(1) Larceny-Theft	27.0	(1) Larceny-	Theft:		25.7
(2) Other Offenses	14.5	(2) Other Of	fenses	16.5	(2) Runaway	22.9	(2) Runaway			20.5
(3) Burglary	13.0	(3) Burglary		9.1	(3) Other Offenses	14.2	(3) Other Of			14.8
(4) Drug Abuse Violations	6.5	(4) Drug Abo	ise Violations	7.0	(4) Liquor Laws	5.5	(4) Liquor L	aws		8.4
(5) Vandalism	6.4	(5) Vandalist	n	6.3	(5) Curfew & Lostering Violations	4.0	(5) Curfew &	k Loitering	Violations	4.7
		1977	1986	% N Chai	nge		1977	1986	% N (	Change
Arrests for Serious Violent	Offenses*	4.2%	4.7%	+2.3	Arrest for Serious Violen	Offenses	1.8%	2.0%		+1.7
Arrests of All Violent Offer	nses <sup>b</sup>	7.6%	9.6%	+10.3	Arrests of All Violent Off	enses	5.1%	7.1%		26.0
Arrests for Status Offenses		8.8%	8.3%	-17.8	Arrests for Status Offense	es:	26.9%	25.2%		14.7

SOURCE: Compiled from Federal Bureau of Investigation (1987, p. 169).

a. Arrest for marder and nonnegligent manslaughter, robbery, forcible rape, and aggravated assault

b. Also includes arrests for other assaults.

c. Arrest for curfew and loitering law violation and runaway

tion, 1987, p. 171). creased by 12.4% (Federal Bureau of Investigarunning away increased by 3% between 1985 and the upward trend continues; arrests of girls for 1986 and arrests of girls for curfew violations in-

and Finnegan, 1987, pp. 6-20). Stating these figreferred to court in 1983 for these offenses (Snyder character of girls' official delinquency. In total offenses continue to play an important role in the nile court populations, it is apparent that status courts (Snyder and Finnegan, 1987, p. 20). Similar stituted about 81% of all delinquency referrals, ures differently, they mean that while males con-34% of the girls, but only 12% of the boys, were figures were reported for 1977 by Black and Smith females constituted 46% of all status offenders in might have been expected. fense referrals, though not as dramatic a decline as data do seem to signal a drop in female status offor these offenses (Children's Bureau, 1965). These and about 20% of the boys were referred to court (1981). Fifteen years earlier, about half of the girls Looking at girls who find their way into juve-

ences in misbehavior found in official statistics different types of male and female delinquency offenses were taken to be representative of the bers of girls arrested and referred for status delinquency do not reflect the dramatic differ-However, self-report studies of male and female For many years statistics showing large num-

> continue to be significantly overrepresented in these noncriminal status offenses have been and court populations. Specifically, it appears that girls charged with

contribution to arrests for runaway and incorrigibility. From these data they concluded that girls ities, and found a 10.4% overrepresentation of bility with girls' self-reports of these two activ-(1985, p. 277) analyzed the delinquent conduct of other recent self-report study. Figueira-McDonough delinquency rates" (Teilmann and Landry, 1981) than boys, when contrasted to their self-reported are "arrested for status offenses at a higher rate 30.9% overrepresentation in arrests for incorrigitemales among those arrested for runaway and a 2,000 youths and found "no evidence of greater inpp. 74-75). These findings were confirmed in anwere significantly more likely than females to volvement, compared to males, in any category of that there was no evidence of greater female in-Cunter (1982) found in the National Youth Survey volvement of females in status offenses." Similarly, report status offenses. delinquent behavior. Indeed, in this sample, males Teilmann and Landry (1981) compared girls

sented in every arrest category with the exception comparing these figures to official arrests of girls siveness of girls self-reported delinquency and (see Table 2) reveals that girls are underrepre-Utilizing Canter's national data on the exten-

TABLE 2 Comparison of Sex Differences in Self-Reported and Official Delinquency for Selected Offenses

the contract of the latest and the latest division in the latest div				
	Self-Report* M/F Ratios (1976)	1976	Official Statistics <sup>b</sup> M/F Arrest Ratio	1986
Theft	3.5:1 (Felony Theft) 3.4:1 (Minor Theft)	2.5.1		270
Drug Violation	(Hard Drug Use)	5.11.1		(Drug Abuse Violations)
Vandalism	5.1:1	123:1		10.01
Con	2.8/1	4.5:1		1.4.1
Serious Assault	(Felony Assault)	5,6(1		(Aggravated Assault)
Minor Assault	3,4:1	3.8:1		3.40
Status Offenses	1.6:1	13:1		(Runaway, Curfew)

a. Extracted from Rachelle Canter (1982, p. 383).
b. Compiled from Federal Bureau of Investigation (1987, p. 173).

of status offenses and larceny theft. These figures girls' delinquency, aggerate the role played by status offenses in strongly suggest that official practices tend to ex-

and Aigner, 1980) have argued? of delinquent behavior as some (Simons, Miller, of delinquency. Will the "add women and stir class and protest masculinity were at the core approach" be sufficient? Are these really theories theories were much influenced by the notion that quately explain female delinquency. Clearly, these developed to explain male delinquency can adequence, there is considerable question as to whether existing theories that were admittedly ries have ignored status offenses. As a consequent behavior. Indeed, most delinquency theostudies examining gender differences in delinanomalies such as these found in the few early ignored female delinquency, failed to pursue Delinquency theory, because it has virtually

light of girls' situation in patriarchal society. short, an urgent need to rethink current models in quency theories fundamentally inadequate to the lisk of explaining female behavior. There is, in and conformity has rendered the major delinments in the generation of adolescent delinquency luttion to the role played by patriarchal arrangeextensive focus on male delinquency and the inat-This article will suggest that they are not. The

system in the sexualization of girls' delinquency wen the central role played by the juvenile justice has been systematically ignored. Also missed has muship between that experience and girls' crime has meant that girls' victimization and the relamens on disadvantaged males in public settings Ill juvenile justice system. Indeed, the extensive shall are treated gently when they are drawn into arrious offenses, they actually have few problems to assume that because girls are charged with less an academic exercise. Specifically, it is incorrect quency theory is not as some might think, solely widence on girls' offending. This discussion will also establish that the proposed overhaul of delinwill be explored by reviewing the available need for a feminist model of female delinquency and influential delinquency theories. Then the of the androcentric bins found in the dominant first necessary to explore briefly the dimensions To understand why such work must occur, it is

arbitrary. patriarchal authority i obedience of all you as they have historical actions of the juvenil gies. Finally, it will b and the criminalizati understood as major

#### the West Side St The Romance of

equation of male delings these works was any Ju ers were followed for I the delinquent and crim with the law; in Brother graphical work traced and McKay, 1942, p. 3 erence to data on femal (though they occasional referred to these rates on male delinquents in and McKay analyzed o quency. In their ecolog much of the subcultura and The Jack-Roller studies such as Shaw's Areas (1942) and int work, particularly Juve study of Juvenile delli in 1929, utilized an e tremely important and often justifying the ne R. Shaw and Henry I cavalier of terms, Ta focused on visible low From the start, the fie

(1927, p. 228) did mentio field observation of juthe five of six female gar during roughly the sain studied over a thousand only in talking to and foll He spent approximately McKay's more quantitate search. Yet here 100 tes set the stage for another Early fieldwork on del

represented in have been and charged with

an lemales to sample, males my category of iter female in-Youth Survey ses." Similarly, e of greater incut conduct of n-McDonough nlimed in an-Landry, 1981. r self-reported a higher rate aded that girls e for incompaunaway and a resentation of ese two nellyampared girls' and incompa-

the exception re underreprearrests of garls inquency and on the exten-

Abuse Violations 10.0:1 5.51 44:1 1:0.0 271

> aggerate the role played by status offenses in girls' delinquency. strongly suggest that official practices tend to exof status offenses and larceny theft. These figures

and Aigner, 1980) have argued? of delinquent behavior as some (Simons, Miller, approach" be sufficient? Are these really theories of delinquency. Will the "add women and stir class and protest masculinity were at the core incomes were much influenced by the notion that quately explain female delinquency. Clearly, these developed to explain male delinquency can adewhether existing theories that were admittedly quence, there is considerable question as to ries have ignored status offenses. As a consequent behavior. Indeed, most delinquency theostudies examining gender differences in delinanomalies such as these found in the few early ignored female delinquency, failed to pursue Delinquency theory, because it has virtually

light of girls' situation in patriarchal society. short, an urgent need to rethink current models in quency theories fundamentally inadequate to the extensive focus on male delinquency and the inattask of explaining female behavior. There is, in and conformity has rendered the major delinments in the generation of adolescent delinquency tention to the role played by patriarchal arrange-This article will suggest that they are not. The

system in the sexualization of girls' delinquency been the central role played by the juvenile justice has been systematically ignored. Also missed has tionship between that experience and girls' crime focus on disadvantaged males in public settings and are treated gently when they are drawn into serious offenses, they actually have few problems to assume that because girls are charged with less an academic exercise. Specifically, it is incorrect quency theory is not, as some might think, solely also establish that the proposed overhaul of delinevidence on girls' offending. This discussion will will be explored by reviewing the available need for a feminist model of female delinquency and influential delinquency theories. Then the of the androcentric bias found in the dominant has meant that girls' victimization and the relathe juvenile justice system. Indeed, the extensive first necessary to explore briefly the dimensions To understand why such work must occur, it is

> arbitrary. obedience of all young women to demands of as they have historically served to reinforce the patriarchal authority no matter how abusive and gies. Finally, it will be suggested that the official and the criminalization of girls' survival strateunderstood as major forces in girls' oppression actions of the juvenile justice system should be

### the West Side Story Syndrome The Romance of the Gang or

equation of male delinquency with delinquency, ers were followed for fifteen years. In none of with the law; in Brothers in Crime, for example, and McKay, 1942, p. 356). Similarly, their bioerence to data on female delinquency) (see Shaw these works was any justification given for the the delinquent and criminal careers of five broth-(though they occasionally made parenthetical refon male delinquents in Chicago and repeatedly graphical work traced only male experiences and The Jack-Roller (1930), set the stage for Areas (1942) and intensive biographical case work, particularly Juvenile Delinquency in Urban referred to these rates as "delinquency rates" and McKay analyzed only the official arrest data quency. In their ecological work, however, Shaw much of the subcultural research on gang delinstudies such as Shaw's Brothers in Crime (1938) study of juvenile delinquency. Their impressive in 1929, utilized an ecological approach to the R. Shaw and Henry D. McKay who, beginning tremely important and influential work of Clifford cavalier of terms. Take, for example, the exoften justifying the neglect of girls in the most focused on visible lower-class male delinquency, From the start, the field of delinquency research

(1927, p. 228) did mention, in passing, two factors field observation of juvenile gangs. Thrasher the five of six female gangs he encountered in his McKay's more quantitative work was being done during roughly the same period as Shaw and studied over a thousand juvenile gangs in Chicago only in talking to and following the boys. Thrasher search. Yet here too researchers were interested set the stage for another style of delinquency re-He spent approximately one page out of 600 on Early fieldwork on delinquent gangs in Chicago

naway, Curiuw)

gravated Assault)

34:1

of girls, powerfully backed by the great weight of and guarded than boys and usually well incorpoorganized areas, are much more closely supervised its activities; and secondly, girls, even in urban distradition and custom, are contrary to the gang and gangs: "First, the social patterns for the behavior rated into the family groups or some other social he felt accounted for the lower number of girl

nearly exclusively on male lower-class culture. quent behavior. Here again, noted delinquency communities as a generating milieu for delinquency focuses on the subculture of lower-class siders only boys' delinquency. His justification twenty years after Thrasher's, deliberately conof delinquent gangs, which was written nearly researchers concentrated either exclusively or For example, Cohen's work on the subculture for the exclusion of the girls is quite illuminating: Another major theoretical approach to delin-

equivocal representative of my sex. My wife, on the want people to recognize me as a full-fledged, ungratifying-because I conceive myself to be a man and other hand, is not greatly embarrassed by her inability curriage are wholly lacking in grace. These imperam a total loss with needle and thread, my posture and there is nothing limpid or flute-like about my voice. I My skin has nothing of the quality of down or silk to excel; they want to excel as a man or as a woman bitable femininity. In short, people do not simply want would be out of keeping with a reputation for induand emotional wife is among them-often affect ignorance, frailty formed that many women-I do not suggest that my ability to lift heavy objects, Indeed, I am reliably inby her modest attainments in arithmetic or by her into tinker with or talk about the internal organs of a car. fections cause me no distress—if anything, they are [Cohen, 1955, p. 138]. instability because to do otherwise

trouble, toughness, excitement, and so on. These, class life with its emphasis on importance of fluential paper on the "focal concerns" of lowerthe same line of argument appears in Miller's inof all concerned, his essential masculinity." Much one virtue: it incontestably confirms, in the eyes demned by others on moral grounds, has at least the delinquent response "however it may be con-From this Cohen (1955, p. 140) concludes that

> candor and probably capture both the allure that male delinquency has had for at least some male ticularly male youth) to criminal misconduct. the author concludes, predispose poor youth (pardered the female delinquent as irrelevant to their However, Cohen's comments are notable in their theorists as well as the fact that sexism has ren-

quency and Opportunity except that women are engage in nonconformist rather than conformist nite pressure upon certain persons in the society to and uncertain of their own identification . . . tend notion is that boys, "engulfed by a feminine world male delinquency can be found in their Delin opportunities for male youth. No mention of fecussed access to "legitimate" and "illegitimate" through the efforts of Cloward and Ohlin who disconduct. His work influenced research largely consider how some social structures exert a defi-Robert K. Merton (1938) who stressed the need to the "strain" theories) emerged out of the work of of success based on the gender of respondents met to test this hypothesis utilizing different definitions Ohlin, 1960, p. 49), Early efforts by Ruth Morris to 'protest' against femininity" (Cloward and quency (Morris, 1964). relationship between "female" goals and delin relationships, Morris was unable to find a clear the ability or inability of girls to maintain effective while for girls the variable concerned itself with perceptions about access to economic power status with mixed success. Attempting to assess boys blamed for male delinquency. Here, the familiar Emphasis on blocked opportunities (sometimes

p. 131]). Finally, the work of Travis Hirschi them, and become a gangster" [Sutherland, 1978] is sociable, gregarious, active, and athletic is very area where the delinquency rate is high a boy will tion works, he utilized male examples (e.g., "In m describing his notion of how differential associastudies he conducted of male criminals. Indeed, in of differential association, which also influenced personal groups. His work, particularly the notion fact that criminal behavior was learned in intimate the neighborhood, learn delinquent behavior from oriented as much of his work was affected by case likely to come in contact with the other boys, in Cloward and Ohlin's work, was similarly male The work of Edwin Sutherland emphasized the

> who were arrested). youth themselves rather than studying only those out of research on male delinquents (though he, at control theory") was, as was stated earlier, derived least, studied delinquent behavior as reported by the social bonds that control delinquency ("social

the juvenile justice system, wally at the center of what some have called the that concerns about girls' immoral conduct were fillinquents, the same could not be said for the whildsaving movement" (Platt, 1969) that set up history of the separate system for youth, reveals while the academics had little interest in female givenile justice system. Indeed, work on the early imporary delinquency theorists, is the fact that frony, and one that consistently eludes even con-(Hagan, Gillis, and Simpson, 1985). The second and consistent effect on delinquency causation tric, while it is clear that gender has a dramatic social class position and delinquency is problemwork of Hirschi demonstrated, and as later studquency is ironic for two reasons. As even the ies would validate, a clear relationship between such an absence of interest in gender in delin-Such a persistent focus on social class and

# "The Best Place to Conquer Girls"

is surved around the monitoring of young Preedman, 1981; Messerschmidt, 1987). mainly, many of the early childsavers' activimarghes, women carved out for themselves a in the policing of women and girls (Feinman, influential in the movement (Platt, 1969; amen's domesticity, though ironically women As the legitimate guardians of the moral insent of family courts, a safe outlet for their in the moral purity crusades and the estabmasive movement, which among other things whitesaman and Wallach, 1978; Rafter, 1985, is youthful offenders was part of the larger Prothe movement to establish separate institutions (4) Childsaving was also a celebration of Unitracing rather than challenging these keenly concerned about prostitution and the normative boundaries of the social "social evils" (white slavery and the like) women were seen as uniquely suited to 1985). In a sense, privileged women

girls', particularly immurant

posing the regulation of prosting the age of consent (see Messa movements that are, to some eimportant lesson for content The consequences of such a pa with the social purists around a cluding Susan B. Anthony, four female) sexuality, notable we tion and distrustful of male (iii) movement. Concerned about feminists and the more comery quence of a disturbing confinprevent their straying from the This state of affairs was

training schools (Shelden, 1981, p. Committed to training schools (8) Wallach, 1978, p. 72); and in Mic were twice as likely as mades to h were sent to reformatories betwee In Milwaukee, twice as many gur quents, but only one-fifth of the I court was founded), one-half of For example, in Chicago (where tions for such misbehavior were e (Chesney-Lind, 1971; Schlosum were charged for immorality i 1978; Shelden, 1981). More to the virtually all the girls who appear Studies of early family court acthe same possible coalitions. Girls were the clear losers in i

notations on the forms. Girls during laceration," "hymen ruptured" are r hymen: "admits intercourse hymen nations, would routinely note the cotors, who understood the purpose or tinely ordered in virtually all gitte by gynecological examinations is sex. Other evidence of "exposure" males with whom she was suspeclengthy questioning of the girl and arresting officers and social wo of immorality was vigorously pur 30% were charged with "waywards dence of sexual intercourse. In adcharged with "immorality," who over half of the girls referred In Honolulu, during the perior

of youth (parit misconduct, notable in their the allure that tast some male exism has renelevant to their

goals and deline to find a clear maintain effective erned itself with unic power status to assess boys respondents met terent definitions by Ruth Morris ification . . . tend i feminine world ere, the familiar that women are mention of fed "illegitimate" Ohlin who disesearch largely man conformist in the society to res exert a defissed the need to of the work of ties (sometimes in their Delin-(Cloward and

d emphasized the narned in intimate cularly the notion h also influenced is similarly male affected by case minuls. Indeed, in fferential associamples (e.g., "In an is high a boy who ad athletic is very the other boys, in pant behavior from Sutherland, 1978.

the social bonds that control delinquency ("social control theory") was, as was stated earlier, derived out of research on male delinquents (though he, at least, studied delinquent behavior as reported by youth themselves rather than studying only those who were arrested).

delinquents, the same could not be said for the while the academics had little interest in female temporary delinquency theorists, is the fact that irony, and one that consistently cludes even conatic, while it is clear that gender has a dramatic social class position and delinquency is problemreally at the center of what some have called the that concerns about girls' immoral conduct were history of the separate system for youth, reveals and consistent effect on delinquency causation ies would validate, a clear relationship between work of Hirschi demonstrated, and as later studthe Juvenile Justice system. juvenile justice system. Indeed, work on the early (Hagan, Gillis, and Simpson, 1985). The second quency is ironic for two reasons. As even the such an absence of interest in gender in delin-'childsaving movement" (Platt, 1969) that set up Such a persistent focus on social class and

# "The Best Place to Conquer Girls"

ties revolved around the monitoring of young order. Embracing rather than challenging these energies. As the legitimate guardians of the moral found, in the moral purity crusades and the estabp. 54). Childsaving was also a celebration of other "social evils" (white slavery and the like) Ultimately, many of the early childsavers' activirole in the policing of women and girls (Feinman, stereotypes, women carved out for themselves a sphere, women were seen as uniquely suited to lishment of family courts, a safe outlet for their Rafter, 1985). In a sense, privileged women were influential in the movement (Platt, 1969; women's domesticity, though ironically women (Schlossman and Wallach, 1978; Rafter, 1985, was keenly concerned about prostitution and gressive movement, which among other things for youthful offenders was part of the larger Pro-1980; Freedman, 1981; Messerschmidt, 1987). purrol the normative boundaries of the social The movement to establish separate institutions

> girls', particularly immigrant girls', behavior to prevent their straying from the path.

This state of affairs was the direct consequence of a disturbing coalition between some feminists and the more conservative social purity movement. Concerned about female victimization and distrustful of male (and to some degree female) sexuality, notable women leaders, including Susan B. Anthony, found common cause with the social purists around such issues as opposing the regulation of prostitution and raising the age of consent (see Messerschmidt, 1987). The consequences of such a partnership are an important lesson for contemporary feminist movements that are, to some extent, faced with the same possible coalitions.

training schools (Shelden, 1981, p. 70). committed to training schools (Schlossman and were twice as likely as males to be committed to Wallach, 1978, p. 72); and in Memphis females In Milwaukee, twice as many girls as boys were were sent to reformatories between 1899-1909 quents, but only one-fifth of the boy delinquents court was founded), one-half of the girl delin-For example, in Chicago (where the first family tions for such misbehavior were extremely severe (Chesney-Lind, 1971; Schlossman and Wallach, virtually all the girls who appeared in these courts 1978; Shelden, 1981). More to the point, the sancwere charged for immorality or waywardness Studies of early family court activity reveal that Citrls were the clear losers in this reform effort.

notations on the forms. Girls during this period laceration," "hymen ruptured" are typical of the nations, would routinely note the condition of the tors, who understood the purpose of such examitinely ordered in virtually all girls' cases. Docmales with whom she was suspected of having of immorality was vigorously pursued by both hymen: "admits intercourse hymen rupture," "no sex. Other evidence of "exposure" was provided lengthy questioning of the girl and, if possible arresting officers and social workers through 30% were charged with "waywardness." Evidence dence of sexual intercourse. In addition, another charged with "immorality," which meant eviover half of the girls referred to court were by gynecological examinations that were rou-In Honolulu, during the period 1929-1930.

to the training school (Chesney-Lind, 1971). Indeed, girls were half of those committed to trainnearly three times more likely to be sentenced age as their male counterparts. They were also where they spent five times as long on the avering schools in Honolulu well into the 1950s were also twice as likely as males to be detained (Chesney-Lind, 1973).

set the tone of official response to female delinmatories per decade [Schlossman and Wallach, reform." For example, Schlossman and Wallach during this period as well as places of "rescue and bucolic settings. The intention was to hold the quency. Obsessed with precocious female sexualing the 1910-1920 decade (in contrast to the note that 23 facilities for girls were opened durmatories and training schools were established domestic pursuits during their sometimes lengthy girls until marriageable age and to occupy them in ity, the institutions set about to isolate the females 1850-1910 period where the average was 5 reforfrom all contact with males while housing them in 1985, p. 70]), and these institutions did much to Not surprisingly, large numbers of girl's refor-

court does not inspire confidence. A few examples arguable; but an examination of the record of the of the persistence of what might be called a double standard of juvenile justice will suffice here. juvenile courts some decades later are, of course, The links between these attitudes and those of

plained, "Why most of the girls I commit are for misbehavior has traditionally been subject to sursorts of results suggest that all youthful female pared to only 5% of the referrals of boys. These cific mention of sexual and moral conduct com-40% of the referrals of girls to court made spesis of police referrals in Australia. She noted that consider Linda Hancock's (1981) content analyficial concern with adolescent sexual misconduct, her up" (Rogers, 1972). For more evidence of ofthen ADC (Aid to Dependent Children) will pick pregnant, we'll keep her until she's sixteen and status offenses. I figure if a girl is about to get tion." Explaining this pattern, one judge exbers of girls incarcerated "for their own protec-Connecticut training school revealed large numveillance for evidence of sexual misconduct. A study conducted in the early 1970s in a

> complaints about male problem behavior in conpolice decision making resulted in disregard of away is proof" (Gelsthorpe, 1986, p. 136). This officers pursued the application because, in one geon that the girl was still premenstrual, the in the face of a written confirmation from a sur truancy run. Over the objections of both the girl's about the girl's sexual behavior. In one case, she jor" of girls. Notable, here, was the concern trast to active concern about the "problem behavlish police station also revealed how everyday long as they did not appear to signal defiance of sexualization of female deviance is highly signif of her parents, no one can dispute that. Running we'll do her for being beyond the care and control Anyway, if the doctor can't provide evidence officers words, "I know her sort . . . free and easy parents and the Social Services Department and danger" order for a 14-year-old picked up in a describes police persistence in pursuing a "moral (particularly in past years) were overlooked so icant and explains why criminal activities by girl I'm still suspicious that she might be pregnant purental control (see Smith, 1978). Gelsthorpe's (1986) field research on an Eng-

enough for them that girls' parents reported them havior or on the sources of this misbehavior. It was reflected on the broader nature of female misbe out of control. Indeed, court personnel tended to female sexuality, juvenile justice workers much bulk of delinquent behavior is of this type. ers, or status offenders. Yet it is clear that the vatime on middle-class delinquency, trivial offend and unequal class structure, that they spent little quent as a romantic rogue male challenging a right were so entranced with the notion of the delin For their part, academic students of delinquenes havior (Chesney-Lind, 1973, 1977; Smith, 1978) itself to that construction and ignore other mists "sexualize" virtually all female defiance that len In their historic obsession about precocious

number of youth "specialize" in serious crimin points could be established. One, that some small gument might be persuasive if two additions conduct, while troublesome, is not a threat to the theoretical work is appropriate as minor min safety and well-being of the community. This at behavior while the rest commit only minor at Some have argued that such an imbalance in

> most serious youthful offenders. minor offenses, thus reserving resources for the those youth that come into its purview for these and, two, that the juvenile court rapidly releases

m underlying offending patterns. likes, and processes of uncovering crime as well wide range of serious and non-serious offenses" unbedded in a more general involvement in a unders reflect variations in police policy, practhat tend to highlight particular types of ofthey went so far as to speculate that arrest histohary, serious violent offending appears to be Illliott, Huizinga, and Morse, 1987). Indeed, ration in serious violent offending; to the confintes noted "there is little evidence for specialmitional self-report data set, Elliott and his assofenses. For example, in a recent analysis of a ers who specialize only in serious violent ofoffender" have failed to locate a group of offend-Determined efforts to locate the "serious juvenile The evidence is mixed on both of these points

his is a product of the system's history that enmeneriminal behavior of youth in order to the personnel who had little interest in releasing we" them from a variety of social ills. milers," for example, ran afoul of juvenile jusmagined. Efforts to deinstitutionalize "status ofhorge with trivial or status offenses than anyone m, in turns out, far more interested in youth they ml, 1988). As has been established, much of suth guilty of noncriminal offenses (Chesney-Indeed, parallels can be found between the mared court officers to involve themselves More to the point, police and court personnel

hild pomography. amoun about the control of teen prostitution "rounions" of "missing" children with their my Prevention, 1985) and that it has hamalteredly gave children the "freedom to run in arguments against the act has been that in soncerns about youthful independence. One Prevention Act of 1974. These come to challenge the deinstitutionalization millibe with their celebration of family values when Progressive period and current national 1986). Suspisystems of the Juvenile Justice and Delinin allout teen sexuality are reflected in exces-(Office of Juvenile Justice and Delin-

fense laws, thereby foreing justice system's insistence of tion is occurring, it is like quents (particularly white Datesman and Aickin, 198 particularly weak when conescalate to criminal offense ers by suggesting that will Yet there is little evidence the youth would "escalate" unued intervention into the Opponents have also an

question of female delinquene that behavior is particularly delinquent behavior and the not to label particular behavio considerable discretion in la inescapable. This symbiotic is conclusion that agents of tribution of delinquent beha distinct picture that emerges school personnel) in the shi judges, detention home we control (police, probation of quency problem." When conf glected the role played by th and trivial offenses and, as however, have largely ducks lives of escaped criminals. The most influential of

### of Delinquency Toward a Feminist The

of these is the fact that all exist cation. developed with no concern also female conformity and deviance attempts to adapt male-oriented ticle. It may be sufficient, at the identify a few of the most obysdelinquency is a task beyond it To sketch out completely a

powerful a system as is class A I to delinquency means construction gender stratification in putrius power is undeniable). It is increabout the power of gender in Note that this is not simply

gnal defiance of overlooked so ctivities by girls is highly signif-5, p. 136). This e that. Running care and control ovide evidence ht be pregnant secause, in one menstrual, the on from a surrepartment and both the girl's picked up in a suing a "moral ) one case, she s the concern goblem behavthaylor in conn disregard of how everyday ch on an Engfree and easy.

an imbalance in this type. flear that the vast y, trivial offendthey spent little hallenging a rigid ion of the delins of delinquency 7; Smith, 1978). ore other misbeleftance that left sonnel tended to is reported them sbehavior. It was f female misbeworkers rarely bout precocious

> most serious youthful offenders. minor offenses, thus reserving resources for the those youth that come into its purview for these and, two, that the juvenile court rapidly releases

as underlying offending patterns. tices, and processes of uncovering crime as well ries that tend to highlight particular types of ofembedded in a more general involvement in a fenders reflect variations in police policy, practhey went so far as to speculate that arrest histowide range of serious and non-serious offenses" trary, serious violent offending appears to be national self-report data set, Elliott and his asso-(Elliott, Huizinga, and Morse, 1987). Indeed, ization in serious violent offending; to the conciates noted "there is little evidence for specialers who specialize only in serious violent ofoffender" have failed to locate a group of offendtenses. For example, in a recent analysis of a Determined efforts to locate the "serious juvenile The evidence is mixed on both of these points

"save" them from a variety of social ills. the noncriminal behavior of youth in order to couraged court officers to involve themselves in this is a product of the system's history that entice personnel who had little interest in releasing imagined. Efforts to deinstitutionalize "status ofcharge with trivial or status offenses than anyone are, in turns out, far more interested in youth they Lind, 1988). As has been established, much of youth guilty of noncriminal offenses (Chesneyfenders," for example, ran afoul of juvenile jus-More to the point, police and court personnel

and child pornography. sive concern about the control of teen prostitution it allegedly gave children the "freedom to run complete with their celebration of family values cions about teen sexuality are reflected in excesquency Prevention, 1985) and that it has humaway" (Office of Juvenile Justice and Delinof the arguments against the act has been that quency Prevention Act of 1974. These come components of the Juvenile Justice and Delinand concerns about youthful independence. One efforts to challenge the deinstitutionalization earlier Progressive period and current national parents (Office of Juvenile Justice, 1986). Suspipered "reunions" of "missing" children with their indeed, parallels can be found between the

> lives of escaped criminals. fense laws, thereby forcing youth in crists to live justice system's insistence on enforcing status oftion is occurring, it is likely the product of the quents (particularly white female delinquents) particularly weak when considering female delinescalate to criminal offenses, and the evidence is ers by suggesting that without such intervention, tinued intervention into the lives of status offend-(Datesman and Aickin, 1984), Finally, if escalathe youth would "escalate" to criminal behavior. Yet there is little evidence that status offenders Opponents have also attempted to justify con-

question of female delinquency is considered. delinquent behavior and the official response to not to label particular behavior as "delinquent" is considerable discretion in labeling or choosing conclusion that agents of social control have school personnel) in the shaping of the "delinjudges, detention home workers, and training that behavior is particularly critical when the tribution of delinquent behavior, however, the distinct picture that emerges from the actual disinescapable. This symbiotic relationship between quency problem." When confronting the less than control (police, probation officers, juvenile court and trivial offenses and, as a consequence, neglected the role played by the agencies of official however, have largely ducked the issue of status The most influential delinquency theories

### of Delinquency Toward a Feminist Theory

catton. developed with no concern about gender stratifiof these is the fact that all existing theories were female conformity and deviance. Most significant attempts to adapt male-oriented theory to explain identify a few of the most obvious problems with ticle. It may be sufficient, at this point, simply to delinquency is a task beyond the scope of this ar-To sketch out completely a feminist theory of

powerful a system as is class. A feminist approach to delinquency means construction of explanations gender stratification in patriarchal society is as power is undeniable). It is increasingly clear that about the power of gender roles (though this Note that this is not simply an observation

only minor acts. serious criminal that some small I two additional nmunity. This arnot a threat to the as minor mis-

of female behavior that are sensitive to its patriarchal context. Feminist analysis of delinquency
would also examine ways in which agencies of
social control—the police, the courts, and the
prisons—act in ways to reinforce woman's place
in male society (Harris, 1977; Chesney-Lind,
1986). Efforts to construct a feminist model of
delinquency must first and foremost be sensitive to
the situations of girls. Failure to consider the existing empirical evidence on girls' lives and behavior
can quickly lead to stereotypical thinking and theoretical dead ends.

trolled by the patriarchal family. The authors' speculate that girls commit less delinquency in Simpson, and Gillis, 1987). Here, the authors of thinking can be found in recent work on the or less discredited (Steffensmeier, 1980; Gora, in women's crime; a notion that is now more the women's movement was causing an increase ing was the early fascination with the notion that a very limited definition of patriarchal control (fopromising beginning quickly gets bogged down in "power-control" model of delinquency (Hagan, 1982). A more recent example of the same sort narrow formulation of patriarchal control results power within the family). Ultimately, the authors' cusing on parental supervision and variations in part because their behavior is more closely conthese girls find themselves in more "egalitarian pation (particularly in high status occupations) in their arguing that mother's work force partiesleads to increases in daughters' delinquency since An example of this sort of flawed theory build-

This is essentially a not-too-subtle variation on the earlier "liberation" hypothesis. Now, mother's liberation causes daughter's crime. Aside from the methodological problems with the study (e.g., the mathors argue that female-headed households are equivalent to upper-status "egalitarian" families where both parents work, and they measure delinquency using a six-item scale that contains no status offense items), there is a more fundamental problem with the hypothesis. There is no evidence to suggest that as women's labor force participation has increased, girls' delinquency has increased. Indeed, during the last decade when both women's labor force participation accelerated and the number of female-headed households

soared, aggregate female delinquency measured both by self-report and official statistics either declined or remained stable (Ageton, 1983; Chilton and Datesman, 1987; Federal Bureau of Investigation, 1987).

By contrast, a feminist model of delinquency would focus more extensively on the few pieces of information about girls' actual lives and the role played by girls' problems, including those caused by racism and poverty, in their delinquency behavior. Fortunately, a considerable literature is now developing on girls' lives and much of it bears directly on girls' crime.

## **Criminalizing Girls' Survival**

It has long been understood that a major reason for girls' presence in juvenile courts was the fact that their parents insisted on their arrest. In the early years, conflicts with parents were by far the most significant referral source; in Honolulu 44% of the girls who appeared in court in 1929 through 1930 were referred by parents.

Recent national data, while slightly less explicit, also show that girls are more likely to be referred to court by "sources other than law enforcement agencies" (which would include parents). In 1983, nearly a quarter (23%) of all girls but only 16% of boys charged with delinquent offenwere referred to court by non-law enforcement agencies. The pattern among youth referred to status offenses (for which girls are overrept sented) was even more pronounced. Well over hill (56%) of the girls charged with these offenses and 45% of the boys were referred by sources of than law enforcement (Snyder and Finney 1987, p. 21; see also Pope and Feyerherm, 1982)

The fact that parents are often committed two standards of adolescent behavior is explanation for such a disparity—and one should not be discounted as a major source tension even in modern families. Despite extations to the contrary, gender-specific socialition patterns have not changed very much this is especially true for parents' relationshowith their daughters (Katz, 1979). It appears even parents who oppose sexism in general "ancomfortable tampering with existing utions" and "do not want to risk their chilling

becoming misfits" (Katz, 1979, p. 24). Clearly, parental attempts to adhere to and enforce these traditional notions will continue to be a source of conflict between girls and their elders. Another important explanation for girls' problems with their parents, which has received attention only in more recent years, is the problem of physical and sexual abuse. Looking specifically at the problem of childhood sexual abuse, it is increasingly clear that this form of abuse is a particular problem for girls.

mailhor, 1986). reater familiarity to criminologists, includ-"Ill known "fear, anxiety, depression, anger and Howne and Finkelhor, 1986, p. 69) to behaviors willity, and inappropriate sexual behavior Allums-Tucker, 1982). The effects noted by manutic short- and long-term effects in victims in last longer than male sexual abuse (DeJong, Hervada, and Emmett, 1983). All of these factors 1986), and, as a consequence, their abuse tends DeJong, Hervada, and Emmen, 1983; Russell, mail trumey, and early marriage (Browne and watchers in this area move from the more associated with more severe trauma—causing assaulted by a family member (often a stepfather) 1986, p. 48); they are more likely than boys to be to start earlier than boys (Finkelhor and Baron, fluron, 1986, p. 45). Girls' sexual abuse also tends tims of sexual abuse are female (Finkelhor and community studies that roughly 70% of the vic-Finkelhor and Baron estimate from a review of the victims of child sexual abuse than are boys. running away from home, difficulties in Girls are, for example, much more likely to be

that they were more likely to have run away thing than a matched sample of women fallens were "seductive" (33% compared to Another study of women patients found that if the victims of child sexual abuse, but only if the nonvictim group, had left home before if 18 (Meiselman, 1978).

"reprintingly, then, studies of girls on the lit court populations are showing high that physical and sexual abuse. Silbert (1981, p. 409) found, for example, that the street prostitutes they interviewed

c adjudicated for either status or reported similarly high levels well as high levels of physics cated they had been sexually a scars, 38% recalled bleeding for the cated they had been sexually a scars, 38% recalled bleeding for the cated they had been sexually a scars, 38% recalled bleeding for the cated they had been sexually as scars, 38% recalled braises.

Outterres, 1979). ancy, or listed as missing per the males had been sexually a study of youth charged with ra found that 55% were incest vic study of youth in a runaway si They found that 73% of the fen (McCormack, Janus, and Burge were reported by McCormack a (Phelps et al., 1982, p. 66), E-"raped" or forced to participa lies. Moreover, 50% had been persons who were closely connhad been sexually abused by that resulted in some form o that 79% had been subjected system in Wisconsin (Phelps or recalled bruises (Mouzakitus, 1 A sample survey of girls in

tern was found among male runawa abuse, petty theft, and prostitution. delinquent or criminal activities sucthan their nonabused counterparts female runaways were significant away youth, McCormack, Janus, sexual contact for money, food, (Phelps et al., 1982, p. 67). In their (1986, pp. 392-393) found that se and clothing in order to survive. A ran away found it necessary to stelegal acts (Koroki and Chesney-L Wisconsin study found that 54% of being labeled as delinquent, yet a delinquent activities. In fact, they that they do not have a lot of attr who have run away from home sh crime in order to survive. Interonce on the streets they are for from profound sexual victimizati Many young women, then, a

Research (Chesney-Lind and Roc on the backgrounds of adult women derscores the important links betw

in sexually abused as juveniles. Girls at an

nale delinquency measured d official statistics either deable (Ageton, 1983; Chilton Federal Bureau of Investi-

tensively on the few pieces garls' actual lives and the problems, including those not poverty, in their delinortunately, a considerable reloping on girls' lives and atly on girls' crime.

### ris' Survival

juvenile courts was the fact isted on their arrest. In the s with parents were by far referral source; in Honolulu o appeared in court in 1929 ferred by parents.

rue for parents' relationships not changed very much and ary, gender-specific socializadern families. Despite expecounted as a major source of in a disparity—and one that adolescent behavior is one rents are often committed to Pope and Feyerherm, 1982). ent (Snyder and Finnegan, ere referred by sources other arged with these offenses and re pronounced. Well over half which girls are overreprem among youth referred for urt by non-law enforcement ed with delinquent offenses er (23%) of all girls but only ch would include parents). In surces other than law enforces girls are more likely to be relata, while slightly less ex-

becoming misfits" (Katz, 1979, p. 24). Clearly, parental attempts to adhere to and enforce these traditional notions will continue to be a source of conflict between girls and their elders. Another important explanation for girls' problems with their parents, which has received attention only in more recent years, is the problem of physical and sexual abuse. Looking specifically at the problem of childhood sexual abuse, it is increasingly clear that this form of abuse is a particular problem for girls.

assaulted by a family member (often a stepfather) to start earlier than boys (Finkelhor and Baron, community studies that roughly 70% of the vic-Finkelhor, 1986). school, truancy, and early marriage (Browne and ing running away from home, difficulties in of greater familiarity to criminologists, includ-(Browne and Finkelhor, 1986, p. 69) to behaviors hostility, and inappropriate sexual behavior" well known "fear, anxiety, depression, anger and researchers in this area move from the more (Adams-Tucker, 1982). The effects noted by dramatic short- and long-term effects in victims are associated with more severe trauma-causing to last longer than male sexual abuse (DeJong, (DeJong, Hervada, and Emmett, 1983; Russell, 1986, p. 48); they are more likely than boys to be Baron, 1986, p. 45). Girls' sexual abuse also tends tims of sexual abuse are female (Finkelhor and Finkelhor and Baron estimate from a review of the victims of child sexual abuse than are boys. Hervada, and Emmett, 1983), All of these factors 1986), and, as a consequence, their abuse tends Girls are, for example, much more likely to be

Herman's study of incest survivors in therapy found that they were more likely to have run away from home than a matched sample of women whose fathers were "seductive" (33% compared to 5%). Another study of women patients found that 50% of the victims of child sexual abuse, but only 20% of the nonvictim group, had left home before the age of 18 (Meiselman, 1978).

Not surprisingly, then, studies of girls on the streets or in court populations are showing high rates of both physical and sexual abuse. Silbert and Pines (1981, p. 409) found, for example, that 60% of the street prostitutes they interviewed had been sexually abused as juveniles. Girls at an

(Katz, 1979). It appears that appose sexism in general feel appering with existing tradit want to risk their children

Arkansas diagnostic unit and school who had been adjudicated for either status or delinquent offenses reported similarly high levels of sexual abuse as well as high levels of physical abuse; 53% indicated they had been sexually abused, 25% recalled scars, 38% recalled bleeding from abuse, and 51% recalled bruises (Mouzakitas, 1981).

found that 55% were incest victims (Reich and ancy, or listed as missing persons in Arizona study of youth charged with running away, truthe males had been sexually abused. Finally, a were reported by McCormack and her associates (Phelps et al., 1982, p. 66). Even higher figures ("raped" or forced to participate in sexual acts) had been sexually abused by parents or other that 79% had been subjected to physical abuse system in Wisconsin (Phelps et al., 1982) revealed Gutierres, 1979). study of youth in a runaway shelter in Toronto. (McCormack, Janus, and Burgess, 1986) in their that resulted in some form of injury, and 32% They found that 73% of the females and 38% of ies. Moreover, 50% had been sexually assaulted persons who were closely connected to their fami-A sample survey of girls in the juvenile justice

away youth, McCormack, Janus, and Burgess tern was found among male runaways. abuse, petty theft, and prostitution. No such patthan their nonabused counterparts to engage in (1986, pp. 392-393) found that sexually abused (Phelps et al., 1982, p. 67). In their study of runsexual contact for money, food, and/or shelter and clothing in order to survive. A few exchanged Wisconsin study found that \$4% of the girls who legal acts (Koroki and Chesney-Lind, 1985). The being labeled as delinquent, yet all engaged in ildelinquent activities. In fact, they are angry about who have run away from home show, very clearly, crime in order to survive. Interviews with girls delinquent or criminal activities such as substance lemale runaways were significantly more likely ran away found it necessary to steal money, food that they do not have a lot of attachment to their once on the streets they are forced further into from profound sexual victimization at home, and Many young women, then, are running away

Research (Chesney-Lind and Rodriguez, 1983) on the backgrounds of adult women in prison underscores the important links between women's

of this sample were the victims of physical and/or careers. The interviews revealed that virtually all childhood victimizations and their later criminal and other forms of petty property crime. They as young women. This situation prompted these sexually abused and about half had been raped sexual abuse as youngsters; over 60% had been tional skills (see also Miller, 1986). backgrounds and virtually no marketable occupaactivities since they possess truncated educational drugs. As adults, the women continue in these also begin what becomes a lifetime problem with on the streets they began engaging in prostitution had been arrested for status offenses) where once women to run away from home (three-quarters

violence" with more arrest for violent offenses as among men, but it was not as dramatic (42% comcompared to 7.5). The difference was also found group of controls to have an adult record (16% glected females were twice as likely as a matched izations. Widom (1988) found that abused or nestantiated and validated histories of these victimquantitative study of 908 individuals with subbehavior has also recently come from a large sexual and physical abuse on adult female criminal violations) (Widom, 1988, p. 17). (such as disorderly conduct, curfew, and loitering rests tended to involve property and order offenses involved with the criminal justice system, their arwhen women with abuse backgrounds did become adult offenders than the control group. In contrast, also more likely to contribute to the "cycle of pared to 33%). Men with abuse backgrounds were Confirmation of the consequences of childhood

status as young women. Perhaps because of the boys, girls' victimization and their response to cipients of violence and sexual abuse. But unlike male delinquency might look seems appropriate. how a feminist perspective on the causes of festepdaughters as their sexual property (Finkelhor, particularly men with traditional attitudes toward to be victim of family related sexual abuse. Men gender and sexual scripts found in patriarchal that victimization is specifically shaped by their First, like young men, girls are frequently the refamilies, girls are much more likely than boys 1982). In a society that idealizes inequality in women, are likely to define their daughters or Given this information, a brief example of

> tractive by older men (Bell, 1984). In addition women, girls are easily defined as sexually atabuse is heightened by norms that require that girls' vulnerability to both physical and sexual they stay at home where their victimizers have male/female relationships and venerates youth in access to them.

at home and vulnerable. That is to say, abusers toward parental authority to force girls to obey have traditionally been able to utilize the uncritcial control in their efforts to keep young women have the ability to invoke official agencies of sostay in that home, however intolerable, they were have been able to employ agencies to enforce delinquency, criminalized their survival strategies tect" young people have, in the case of girls statutes that were originally placed in law to "prorecently, routinely ignored. For this reason, them. Girls' complaints about abuse were, until ical commitment of the juvenile justice system their return. If they persisted in their refusal to As they run away from abusive homes, parents incarcerated. Moreover, their victimizers (usually males)

or take a job to support themselves because they of escaped convicts. Unable to enroll in school statutes designed to protect them into the lives on the run from homes characterized by sexual ally be forced by present laws into petty criminal ents (often for very legitimate reasons) may actuinto the streets. Here they engage in panhandling, abuse and parental neglect, are forced by the very activity, prostitution, and drug use. survive. Young women in conflict with their parpetty theft, and occasional prostitution in order to tear detection, young female runaways are forced Young women, a large number of whom are

desirable youthful, physically perfect women. This object status. American society has defined as older sisters due to the double standard of aging desirable and, in fact, more desirable then their means that their lives on the streets (and their surnecessarily young boys) are defined as sexually in criminal activities that exploit their sexual streets because of profound poverty, get involved girls on the run from abusive homes, or on the shaped by patriarchal values. It is no accident that vival strategies) take on unique shape—one again In addition, the fact that young girls (but not

> them from this perspective (Miller, 1986). It also means that the criminal subculture views of value to trade, are encouraged to utilize this means that girls on the streets, who have little else 'resource" (Campagna and Poffenberger, 1988),

## Female Delinquency, Patriarchal

and class, theory that is as sensitive to gender as it is to mee ularly critical to the development of delinquency agencies to girls' delinquency must be conducted qualitative research on the reaction of official schmidt, 1986; Campbell, 1984). Finally, current racism shape girls' lives is also vital (see Messer-This latter task, admittedly more difficult, is particmore complete understanding of how poverty and be undertaken (see Figueira-McDonough, 1986). A pact of variations in those settings should also schools, that girls find themselves in and the imresearch on the settings, such as families and 1977). Time must be spent listening to girls. Fuller 1981; Miller, 1986; Rosenberg and Zimmerman, exceptions to this see Campbell, 1984; Peacock of their own situations, choices, and behavior (for it is vital to an understanding of girls' definitions been done in the case of girls' definquency, though delinquent boys. Very little of this sort of work has largely gleuned by intensive field observation of The early insights into male delinquency were

delinquency and of

In sum, research

women to defy patr tion" of youth as it stitutionalization of

What may be at sta that officials had, to earlier victimize of these behaviors of Juvenile Justice

1985) all neglect il

girls guilty of minor misconduct make sense. historic pattern of jailing of huge numbers of to patriarchal society is so pronounced, does the sexuality at the point in their lives that their value considers the role of women's control over their expressed about youthful female defiance (otherpersonnel makes virtually no sense). Only if one wise much of the behavior of criminal justice lies and official agencies of social control have reason for the excessive concern that both famiarchy (Lerner, 1986). The fact that young women torically been central to the maintenance of patrihave relatively more of this capital has been one familial control over girls' sexual capital has hisplaced within the larger context of girls' sexual history, virtually all female delinquency has been behavior. One explanation for this pattern is that It is clear that throughout most of the court's

## **Authority, and Family Courts**

about victimized and youthful involve told by the oppogiving youth the I really prepared to encountered. Supp justice system's a resistance that the This framewor

### References

well as class and rac delinquency that are for is essential to the

BILL, INGI. POWILL AGETON, SUZANNE S. 1 ADAMS-TUCKER, CHILI of Sexual Abuse II Delinquency, 1976 of Psychiatry 193.

BLACK, T. EDWIN HILD Jo Freeman, Palo A Immary National Age." In Women: A

BROWNS, ANGELA and I Printing Office Characteristics of a rile Justice System

CAMBIGNA, DANIIL S. DE: Auburn House 1988. The Sexual T Psychological Huller of Child Sexual Al

CHISNEY-LIND, MILIN CANDEL RACHELLE J. I mount or Count Report Delinquency Basil Blackwell

CAMPIELL, ANN. 1984.

r victimizers have s that require that ysical and sexual 984). In addition, ed as sexually atvenerates youth in

tolerable, they were in their refusal to gencies to enforce ave homes, parents r survival strategies the case of girls aced in law to "prot abuse were, until torce girls to obey nile justice system utilize the uncrit-15 to say, abusers ceep young women tal agencies of so- (usually males) For this reason,

g use. uffict with their partage in panhandling. runaways are forced selves because they to enroll in school them into the lives e forced by the very acterized by sexual unber of whom are

perfect women. This ciety has defined as exploit their sexual poverty, get involved ve homes, or on the It is no accident that ne shape—one again streets (and their surthe standard of aging desirable then their young girls (but not g reasons) may actuostitution in order to s into petry criminal defined as sexually

> them from this perspective (Miller, 1986). It also means that the criminal subculture views of value to trade, are encouraged to utilize this means that girls on the streets, who have little else "resource" (Campagna and Poffenberger, 1988).

### Female Delinquency, Patriarchal **Authority, and Family Courts**

theory that is as sensitive to gender as it is to race ularly critical to the development of delinquency qualitative research on the reaction of official and class This latter task, admittedly more difficult, is particagencies to girls' delinquency must be conducted. schmidt, 1986; Campbell, 1984). Finally, current racism shape girls' lives is also vital (see Messermore complete understanding of how poverty and be undertaken (see Figueira-McDonough, 1986). A research on the settings, such as families and pact of variations in those settings should also schools, that girls find themselves in and the imexceptions to this see Campbell, 1984; Peacock, of their own situations, choices, and behavior (for it is vital to an understanding of girls' definitions 1977). Time must be spent listening to girls. Fuller 1981; Miller. 1986; Rosenberg and Zimmerman, been done in the case of girls' delinquency, though delinquent boys. Very little of this sort of work has largely gleaned by intensive field observation of The early insights into male delinquency were

girls guilty of minor misconduct make sense historic pattern of jailing of huge numbers of to patriarchal society is so pronounced, does the sexuality at the point in their lives that their value considers the role of women's control over their wise much of the behavior of criminal justice expressed about youthful female defiance (otherreason for the excessive concern that both famiarchy (Lerner, 1986). The fact that young women personnel makes virtually no sense). Only if one lies and official agencies of social control have have relatively more of this capital has been one torically been central to the maintenance of patrifamilial control over girls' sexual capital has hisbehavior. One explanation for this pattern is that placed within the larger context of girls' sexual history, virtually all female delinquency has been It is clear that throughout most of the court's

> women to defy patriarchy, tion" of youth as it is curbing the right of young stitutionalization efforts is not so much "protec-What may be at stake in efforts to roll back deinthat officials had, for years, routinely ignored to earlier victimization, frequently by parents of these behaviors were often in direct response of Juvenile Justice and Delinquency Prevention. and youthful involvement in pornography (Office about victimized youth, youthful prostitution, told by the opponents of deinstitutionalization encountered. Supporters of the change were not 1985) all neglect the unpleasant reality that most giving youth the freedom to run. Horror stories really prepared for the political significance of justice system's authority over status offenders resistance that the movement to curb the juvenile This framework also explains the enormous

delinquency that are sensitive to its patriarchal as for is essential to the development of theories of well as class and racial context. delinquency and official reactions to that behav-In sum, research in both the dynamics of girls

#### References

- ADAMS-TUCKER, CHRISTING, 1982, "Proximate Effects of Psychiatry 193:1252-1256. of Sexual Abuse in Childhood." American Journal
- AGRICON, SUZANNE S., 1983, "The Dynamics of Female Delinquency, 1976-1980." Criminology 21:555-584.
- BILL, INGE POWELL 1984. 'The Double Standard Jo Freeman, Palo Alto, CA: Mayfield, Age." In Women: A Feminist Perspective, edited by
- BLACK, T. EDWIN and CHARLES P. SMITH, 1981, A Prenile Justice System. Washington, DC: Government Printing Office. Characteristics of Juveniles Processed in the Juveliminary National Assessment of the Number and
- BROWNE, ANGELA and DAVID FINKELHOR, 1986, "Impact of Child Sexual Abuse: A Review of Research." Psychological Bulletin 99:66-77.
- CAMPAGNA, DANIEL S. and DONALD L. POFFENBERGER DE: Auburn House, 1988. The Sexual Trafficking in Children. Dover,
- CAMPBELL, ANN. 1984. The Girls in the Gang. Oxford Basil Blackwell
- CANTER, RACHELLE J. 1982. "Sex Differences in Self-Report Delinquency." Criminology 20:373-393.
- CHESNEY-LIND, MIDA. 1971. Female Juvenile Delinquency in Hawaii, Master's thesis, University of